

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,305	07/25/2003	Hubin Jiang	11065	5097
25570 7590 11/19/2007 ROBERTS, MLOTKOWSKI & HOBBES P. O. BOX 10064			EXAMINER	
			SAFAIPOUR, HOUSHANG	
MCLEAN, VA 22102-8064			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	2625
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	·		11/19/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Dbeltran@rmhlaw.com LGallaugher@rmhlaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/627,305	JIANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Houshang Safaipour	2625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,7,8,11 and 12</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>2-6,9,10 and 13-17</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	,				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal I				

Application/Control Number: 10/627,305

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 7, 8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hartman et al. (US 7,146,031).

Regarding claim 1, Hartman discloses a methodology for imaging documents (X-Rays), said methodology comprising the steps of:

(a) creating at least one separator barcode sheet; (b) placing said at least one separator barcode sheet at the beginning of each new grouping of documents, wherein at least one level of groupings is recognized by at least one correspondingly distinct separator sheet type; (c) scanning said documents (col. 9, lines 37-67); and (d) verifying the continuity of said barcode separator sheets (col. 9 line 67 to col. 10 line 9).

Regarding claim 7, Hartman discloses the methodology of claim 1 wherein each of said barcode separators comprises a sheet of paper containing a barcode (col. 9 lines 37-48).

Regarding claim 8, Hartman discloses the methodology of claim 7 wherein at least one barcode separators further comprises textual or graphical information (col. 9, lines 37-48).

Regarding claim 11, Hartman discloses the methodology of claim 10 wherein said unique barcode comprises information representative of the format of a document (col. 9 lines 49-67).

Application/Control Number: 10/627,305 Page 3

Art Unit: 2625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hartman et al.

(US 7,146,031) and further in view of Taylor et al. (US 5,704,602).

Regarding claim 12, the arguments under claim 1 apply to claim 12 as well. However

Hartman is silent on how the barcode document is created. Taylor discloses automatic creation

(labeler) of a banner (separator) sheet with identifying indicia printed on it (col. 1 lines 30-38).

Therefore it would have been obvious to use such method in creating a barcode label to be used

in Hartman's invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2-6, 9, 10 and 13-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2625

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Houshang Safaipour whose telephone number is (571)272-7412. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 6:00am to 2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Moore can be reached on (571)272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Houshang Safaipour Patent Examiner November 12, 2007 Hugh.